App. F. IX-4

1934-35

File No 191/34

Previous References

Serial Nos. 4-5, 6,

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4-5, 6,

Part B for 1936

SUBJECT.

Serial No.

Letter no. 2K.D. 582 dated 28th Nov. 35 from the Comptroller forwarding statement showing that Mr H.D. Rice Dy Trade Comr has drawn acting allowance from period he acted as Trade Comr and requesting orders as to the rate at which the acting allowance of Mr Rice is to be admitted.

Subd

Kind reference is invited to paras 2 & 3 of the note in C.S. file no. 191-34 (1+2) putup. It has been decided that no allowance need be given to Mr Rice for the period he acts as Tr. Comr. ~~[illegible]~~

Mark 3/12/35

In accordance with the decision already recorded, the Comptroller may perhaps be informed that no acting allowance need be given Mr Rice also may be informed that Govt consider that, as on previous occasions when he was in charge of the Trade Comrs duties for longer periods without any addl remuneration an acting allowance is not necessary for the short period he was in charge of the work on the present occasion

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 05504—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

3/12/35
Dewey

926

File No. | of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Mr Rice was appointed to act as Trade Commissioner but it was later decided that he should be given no acting allce. He acted for 18 days and has drawn an acting allce of £2.8=10.-

The question is whether this remt should be recovered. The amount is small and may perhaps be allowed as a set off.

Secretary

W. H. Seng

Dewan

3.12.35

4/12/35

DC has already been decided that he cannot be given any acting allowance. This decision holds good even now.

He should not have drawn anything without orders.

What he has drawn, without authority may be retrenched by the Govt

4.12.

5/12/35.

5.12.35

I agree with the Financial Secy

8/12/35 — 9.12.35

9-12-35 10.12.35

DEWAN'S OFFICE 9 DEC. 1935 No. 3765

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT No. 1284 4 DEC. 193 COLOMBO

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 6 DEC. 1935 No. 4395

Serial No.

Subd with a draft for approval

K.M.R. 10/12/35

CPR

Dewsley

38/17 11/12

No. 1361 D 12.12.36

The Comptroller to Govt

state that Govt do not consider it necessary

to allow him any acting allowance for the

short period & that the amount drawn by him

may be asked to be refunded

B

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

Serial No.	
	letter No 2/E.H.A. of 1.1.36 from
6	the Trade Commr for Cyprus in London
	directs reference to this
	Office letter of 12.12.35 stating that
	Mr Rice had no authority for
	drawing any allowance & he may
	be asked to refund the amount
	drawn by him; States that on
	the occasion under reference the G.O
	of 2.5.35 definitely states that
	Mr Rice should act as Trade Commr
	& requests that on that score
	the order to refund may be
	reconsidered & the allowance
	drawn be sanctioned
	The question which Mr Rice claimed
	to be allowed as allowance or not has
	been discussed at length and it has
	been held that no such allowance
	was due (vide notes on CB 191-34
	1 & 2 & CB 191-34; 44 & 5)
	The request for reconsideration
	is based upon the wording of the
	order by Secretariat used at the time.
	The decision that he was not to be given

NOTE—Contn Sh

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

any of allowance was after the issue of the order ~~granting~~ fixing time scale as Trade Commissioner during the period ~~was not remunerative~~

~~[illegible]~~

Done 24/1 In this connection I would refer to the note at page 6, para 22 in CB file 191-84; 1942 as stated. There the salary of the office was not fixed and the terms are settled with each incumbent. It was not therefore possible to determine by the ordinary rules the acting allowance to which Mr. Rice's action in drawing any allowance was tantamount without authority (vide Flag N).

The request for reconsideration of the order is for orders.

Done 24/1 ~~[illegible]~~

The distinction sought to be drawn by Mr Rice is between "acting" & "in charge" and the a nature of the allowances permissible for each of these tenures. The amount of charge allowance is one to be fixed in each case by the higher competent authority, whereas an "acting" allowance can be drawn usually, without any specific sanction,

Cs. 1017

General and Revenue Secretariat | C/R File No. 191 of 1934. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

~~(2)~~ Subject to general restrictions of the M.S.R. As Mr. Rice was appointed to "act" as Trade Commr., he considered it unnecessary to apply for Govt. sanction to draw the allowance. This explanation is offered with reference to the ~~(2)~~ observation in Govt letter no. 1361 d. 12:12:35 that "Mr. Rice had no authority for drawing any acting allowance without specific order". The reason ~~(3)~~ why it is held that specific orders were necessary for drawing ~~(4)~~ acting allowance, is that the pay of the office of Trade Commr. has not been definitely fixed (each incumbent being given a personal pay) and hence the amount of acting allowance cannot be fixed except by special order.

It is, however, submitted that while on former occasions Mr. Rice was placed "in charge" merely for long periods, on the occasion now under advertence he was appointed to "act" for a short period (18 days). This change in tenure ~~(5)~~ might have led him to expect some allowance. The amount of acting allowance that he drew (~~(6)~~ which was ordered to be refunded) is £ 8-10-4. His request for reconsideration of the Govt order is for orders

Submd GA 25.1.36
Dewey

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

4774/35/1

Acting allowance drawn by Mr. H.V. Rice

1017 C5

File No. of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Put up orders

Secretary's Dewan's

MW 29.1.36.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 29 JAN. 1936 No 5681

DEWAN'S OFFICE 31 JAN. 1936 No. 4728

There is some point in the representation made by Mr. Rice I am agreeable to reconsideration of the previous order

HVR 31/1/36

MV 31-1-36

Put up

To secy's

MW 1.2.36.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY U.O.No. FI. 1764 3. FEB. 1936 BANGALORE

HVR 3/2

4/2/36 MW 4.2.36.

Draft Go is submitted

5/2/36

CGR 6/2/36 Draft Go.

MW 6.2.36.

50.2.36 6/2

For perusal

Draft-Hair dresser CGR 6/2/36

Issue

8/2/36 Chief Secy.

Am 6/2

Hr. am 12/2/36

8/2

Serial No.	
	G.O. No. 1768-69 Dt. 7-2-36
1	The Commissioner of Income Tax, Madras
	The Trade Commissioner
	Office of the Government of India
	Allowance of £ 8-10-8 to him
	4d. Diem for the period of 18
	days during which he acted as Trade
	Commissioner

WD 0559—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

Con. 1417

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

In reply please quote reference to No. 977/IAD.

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE, BANGALORE,

Dated the 4th April *1936*.

M.Venkatanaranappa, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Development Department, Bangalore.

GEN. & REV. DEPT. 8 APR. 1936 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

Sir,

Adverting to G.O.No.1768-69/C.B 191-34-7 dated 7-2-36 approving of the grant of an acting allowance of £ 8-10-8 to Mr.H.D.Rice for the period of 18 days in August 1935 during which he acted as Trade - - Commissioner, I have the honour to request you to kindly forward a copy of letter No.R/EH dated 1-1-36 addressed to you by the Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London in this connection for the information of this office.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Comptroller.

Draft [It is not clear why this letter is necessary for the audit office].

Draft sending a copy is put up

Devlee) The comptroller may kindly be requested to intimate why he wants a copy pursuant

Draft

Form 14/4 CpO).

Form 23088/ 20.4.36

Sir, With reference to your letter No. 977/IAD 064 dated 23.4.36 from the Comptroller, Bangalore, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the letter No. R/EH dated 1-1-36 addressed to you by the Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London in this connection for the information of this office.

cos 160/5

Letter of the Trade Commr
was asked for with a view
to understand the circumstances
under which the discourse
was sanctioned

Submitted with reference to para 2 supra
for orders.

Comm
27/4 Cpl
Dev Sec)

As Govt have power finally under it
seems unnecessary that a complete
letter because the decision of which may
or may not have been based on the very points
urged in the T. C's. In this case there is no
harm in sending a copy the letter but the
point is whether the complainant is
entitled to get and act in judgment
over all the material which Govt
received from us.

Secretariat
Inter Dept. Secy

27.4.36.

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT
U.O.No. Fl: 282/...
29. APR. 1936
BANGALORE.

The Comptr is required as to
Submit an Audit & Appts Report to the Public
Accounts Committee in which he can comment
on orders passed by Govt. When an order is not
in accordance with the G.R. and no reasons
are given for issuing it in the order itself he may
require further information to be furnished.
This may or may not be given as Govt may choose
In the former case he will be able to present a
correct & full account in the A.G.A Report. In the
latter case he will draw whatever

CB 1603

JC 282)

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 1 JUNE 1936 NO 9389

inferences he can from the material at his disposal The Auditor is in a sense required by the rules to examine sanctions and comment on them.

29/5

29.5.36.

Secretariat MW. 31.5.36.

2/6/36

MW. 3.6.36.

For orders if a copy of the Trade Commissioner's letter asked for by the Comptroller may be sent.

Draft put up for consideration

CJA 2/6/36

MW. 3.6.36.

Dear Lee.

2814-D 4.6.36

The Comptroller

Forwards a copy of the letter from the Trade

BJ

In reply please quote reference to No. IAD.532.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 25th November *193* 5.

FROM

A. N. RAGHAVACHAR, ESQ., M.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

CHIEF SECRETARY. 28 NOV. 1935

M. Venkatanarnappa Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

Adverting to Government Notification No.2535/C.B.191-34-2 dated 25-5-35, granting privilege leave of absence to Mr.C.Ranganatha Rao Sahib and to Memo No.2536/C.B. dated 25-5-35, stating that Mr.H.D. Rice, Deputy Trade Commissioner will act as Trade Commissioner during the absence of Mr.C.Ranganatha Rao Sahib until relief by Mr.T.V.A. Isvaran, I have the honour to state that Mr.H.D.Rice who acted as Trade Commissioner for 18 days from the 8th to 25th August 35 was drawn an acting allowance of £8-10-4 for this period at the rate of 1/5 the difference between the salary of £1,600/- allowed to Mr.C. Ranganatha Rao Sahib and that of Deputy Trade Commissioner Viz. £720/- per annum.

As the pay of the appointment of the Trade Commissioner has not been fixed and the salary allowed to Messrs.C.Ranganatha Rao Sahib and T.V.A. Isvaran is personal to each of them, I request orders of Government as to the rate at which the acting allowance of Mr.H.D. Rice is to be admitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

U.

1361/C.B.191-35-5
4/4/43

To

The Comptroller to Government.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.I.A.D. 532 dated 25th November 1935, regarding the acting allowance payable to Mr. H.D.Rice, Dy. Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London for the period he acted as Trade Commissioner, I am directed to state that no allowance was given to Mr. Rice when he was in charge of the duties of the Trade Commr. on previous occasions and Government do not consider it necessary to allow him any acting allowance for the short period for which he attended to the duties of that office on this occasion. Mr. Rice ~~was not in order in~~ had no authority for drawing any acting allowance without specific orders and he may be asked to refund the amount drawn by him on this account.

I have, etc.,

MW

Development Secretary.

1364 C.B
4/4/43 Copy to Trade Commr. for Mysore fn London.

K.M.K

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

No. 1361 C.B.191-34-5

Dated, Bangalore, 12th December 1935.

From

M. Venkatanaranappa, Esqr., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department.

To

A. N. Raghava Char, Esqr., M.A.,
Comptroller to Government.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. I.A.D.532 dated 25th November 1935, regarding the acting allowance payable to Mr. H. D. Rice, Deputy Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London for the period he acted as Trade Commissioner, I am directed to state that no allowance was given to Mr. Rice when he was in charge of the duties of the Trade Commissioner on previous occasions and Government do not consider it necessary to allow him any acting allowance for the short period for which he attended to the duties of that office on this occasion. Mr. Rice had no authority for drawing any acting allowance without specific orders and he may be asked to refund the amount drawn by him on this account.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) M. Venkatanaranappa,
Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

No. 1361-5 B. D 1934-35.

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to the Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London.

By Order,

Development Secretary.

T. V. A. ISVARAN, B.A.
TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.
Telegrams:
MYSOF, RAND, LONDON.

CB 1017

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

CB 191-34-6

Please Quote Ref....R/EH.....

1st January 1936.

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

Rs 945 B

Replying to your memo No.1632/CB dated the 12th December, in regard to the Acting Allowance drawn by Mr. H. D. Rice for the short period before I took charge, Mr. Rice tells me that on several former occasions he was "in charge" of this office whilst my Predecessor was on tour, for which he did not put in any claim for additional allowance, although, in one instance, the period covered as much as 5½ months.

On the occasion under reference, the Government Order definitely stated that Mr. Rice should Act as Trade Commissioner, hence it was considered unnecessary to apply for Government sanction to draw the allowance. I recommend that the matter be reconsidered in view of the above explanation, and the extra allowance drawn by Mr. Rice, be sanctioned.

Yours faithfully,

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

.....

Read:--

Memo No.2536/C.B.191-34-2 dated 25th May 1935 appointing Mr. H. D. Rice, Deputy Trade Commissioner, to act as Trade Commissioner during the absence of Mr. C. Ranganatha Rao Saheb (on privilege leave preparatory to retirement) until relief by Mr. T. V. A. Isvaran.

2. Correspondence ending with Government letter No.1361/C.B.191-34-5 dated 12th December 1935 intimating to the Comptroller that Government did not consider it necessary to allow Mr. H. D. Rice to draw any acting allowance for the period of 18 days from 8th to 25th August 1935 during which he acted as Trade Commissioner in pursuance of the above order.

3. Letter No.R/E.H. dated 1st Janaury 1936 from the Trade Commissioner in Mysore in London requesting that in the circumstances explained therein, the above decision may be reconsidered and that sanction may be accorded to the acting allowance already drawn by Mr. H.D. Rice.

****

O r d e r No. 1768-69/CB.191-34-1

Dated, Bangalore, 7/4 1936.

On further consideration, Government are pleased to approve of the grant of an acting allowance of £ 8-10-8 to Mr. H. D. Rice for the period of 18 days referred to above during which he acted as Trade Commissioner ~~at the rate~~

~~and claimed by him, and to allow him to~~ retain the sum ~~of £.8-10-4~~ already drawn by ~~him on this account~~.

MW.

Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

To

The Comptroller, Mysore Government, through Financial Secretariat.

The Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London.

Copy to Development Branch.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Memo No.2536/C.B.191-34-2 dated 25th May 1935 appointing Mr. H. D. Rice, Deputy Trade Commissioner, to act as Trade Commissioner during the absence of Mr. C. Ranganatha Rao Saheb (on privilege leave preparatory to retirement) until relief by Mr. T. V. A. Isvaran.

2. Correspondence ending with Government letter No.1361/C.B. 191-34-5 dated 12th December 1935 intimating to the Comptroller that Government did not consider it necessary to allow Mr. H.D. Rice to draw any acting allowance for the period of 18 days from 8th to 24th August 1935 during which he acted as Trade Commissioner in pursuance of the above order.

3. Letter No. R/B.H. dated 1st January 1936 from the Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London requesting that in the circumstances explained therein, the above decision may be reconsidered and that sanction may be accorded to the acting allowance already drawn by Mr. H. D. Rice.

- - - -

O R D E R No. 1768-69/ C.B. 191-34-7

Dated, Bangalore, the 7th February 1936.

On further consideration, Government are pleased to approve of the grant of an acting allowance of £8-10-8 to Mr. H. D. Rice for the period of 18 days referred to above, during which he acted as Trade Commissioner.

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

To

The Comptroller, Mysore Government, through Financial Sectt.

" Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London.

....

DJ 25/4/36

2308/ CS 19/-86-

To

The Commissioner of Customs,

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 977/ 9. A.D of 4.4.36, asking ~~requesting him~~ for a copy of letter No 2/E 4 of 1.1.36 addressed to Govt by the Trade Commissioner for Syria in London ~~may be forwarded to you~~, I am directed to ~~enquire~~ request you to ~~be so good as to~~ kindly state ~~intimate~~ why a copy of the said letter is ~~required by you~~ required in your office.

I have etc

The Govt Government orders have been sent thereto vide Secretariat.

Dom

Asst Secretary to Govt

20th April 1936.

M. Venkatanarainappa, Esq., B.A

A. N. Raghavachar, Esq., M.A.
Comptroller, Mysore Government.

With reference to your letter No.977/IAD. dated 4th April 1936, asking for a copy of letter No.R/E.H. dated 1st January 1936 addressed to Government by the Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London, I am directed to request you to kindly state why a copy of the said letter is required in your office.

The Government Order has been sent through the Financial Secretariat.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Development Secretary to Government

CB 1603

In reply please quote reference to No. IAD.1061.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 23rd April *193*6

FROM

A. N. RAGHAVACHAR, ESQ., M.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

M. Venkatanarnappa Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.2308/C.B.191-34 dated 20-4-36, I have the honour to state that the acting allowance of £8-10-4 drawn by Mr.Rice without authority and precedent for a period of 18 days during which he acted as Trade Commissioner was ordered to be refunded by him in your letter No.1361/C.B.191-34-5 dated 12-12-35 and was sanctioned in G.O.1768-69/C.B.191-34-7 dated 7-2-36 on the representation of the Trade Commissioner. With a view to understand the circumstances which were explained by the Trade Commissioner on the consideration of which the allowance was sanctioned, his letter No.R/EH. dated 1-1-36 to Government was asked for in this office letter No.977/IAD. dated 4-4-1936.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

2814/CB

2nd 4/11/36

To

The Comptroller & Govt

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No 1061/9-A.D dated 23.4.36 I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No R/E.4 of 1.1.36 addressed to Govt. by the Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London.

Please note

I have etc

Mark this flag 'A'
Copy

Deputy Secretary

Dpm

4th June 1936.

(Camp) Mysore,

2814/ CS

M. Venkatanaranappa, Esqr., B.A.,

A. N. Raghava Char, Esqr., M.A.,
Comptroller to Government,
(Camp) M y s o r e.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.1061/I.A.D. dated 23rd April 1936, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. R/E.H. dated 1st April 1936 addressed to Government by the Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government,
Development Department.